

DAVID M. ROSENBERG-WOHL (S.B.
#132924)
david@hrw-law.com
Hershenson Rosenberg-Wohl,
A Professional Corporation
3080 Washington St.
San Francisco, CA 94115
Telephone: (415) 317-7756

Attorney for Plaintiff

MATTHEW R. COWAN (S.B. #281114)
mcowan@omm.com
O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
400 South Hope Street, 19th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90071-2899
Telephone: (213) 430-6000
Facsimile: (213) 430-6407

MEAGHAN VERGOW*
mvergow@omm.com
O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
1625 Eye Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006
Telephone: (202) 383-5300
Facsimile: (202) 383-5414

ANTON METLITSKY*
ametlitsky@omm.com
JENNIFER SOKOLER*
jsokoler@omm.com
O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
1301 Avenue of the Americas, Suite 1700
New York, NY 10019
Telephone: (212) 326-2000
Facsimile: (212) 326-2061

Attorneys for Defendants
**Admitted pro hac vice*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JONATHAN GROVEMAN,

Plaintiff,

v.

UC DAVIS, REGENTS OF THE
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,
MICHAEL V. DRAKE, GARY S. MAY,
MARY CROUGHAN, RENETTA
GARRISON TULL, CLARE SHINNERL,
PABLO REGUERIN, AND DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:24-cv-01421-DJC-AC

**STIPULATED MOTION FOR
ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF
REGARDING AMENDED
COMPLAINT AND BRIEFING
SCHEDULE; [PROPOSED] ORDER**

Assigned to Hon. Daniel J. Calabretta
Courtroom 10

TO THE COURT:

Pursuant to Local Rules 143, 144, and 233, the Parties, by and through their attorneys of record, hereby stipulate and request as follows:

WHEREAS, on May 17, 2024, Jonathan Groveman (“Plaintiff”) filed a Complaint alleging claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 based on alleged violations of Plaintiff’s rights under the Equal Protection and Free Exercise Clauses, a claim under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, and a claim under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (“Complaint”) against Defendants UC Davis, The Regents of the University of California, Michael V. Drake, Gary S. May, Mary Croughan, Renetta Garrison Tull, Clare Shinnerl, and Pablo Reguerin (“Defendants”).

WHEREAS, the case was initially assigned to Magistrate Judge Allison Claire, who entered an order on June 24, 2024, extending Defendants’ time to respond to the Complaint to August 8, 2024 (ECF No. 13).

WHEREAS, the case was reassigned to this Court on August 6, 2024 (ECF No. 18).

WHEREAS, pursuant to this Court’s Standing Order in Civil Cases, the parties met and conferred on August 7, 2024, to discuss Defendants’ contemplated motion to dismiss the Complaint. The parties also conferred, pursuant to this Court’s Initial Case Management Order, about the Rule 26(f) discovery plan. During the meet and confer, the parties agreed, subject to the Court’s approval, to set the following schedule for the filing of an amended complaint, responsive motions, and the Rule 26(f) discovery plan.

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties, jointly stipulate, agree, and request that the Court order the following schedule.

Deadline for Plaintiff to file an amended complaint	August 28, 2024
Deadline for Defendants to respond to the amended complaint	September 23, 2024
Deadline for the Parties to file the joint Rule 26(f) discovery plan	September 30, 2024

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: August 8, 2024.

By: /s/ David M. Rosenberg-Wohl
(authorized 8/8/24)

David M. Rosenberg-Wohl

Attorney for Plaintiff

Dated: August 8, 2024.

By: /s/ Matthew R. Cowan
Matthew R. Cowan

Attorney for Defendants

[PROPOSED] ORDER

The Parties' Stipulated Motion for Administrative Relief is approved.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The deadline for Plaintiff to file an amended complaint is August 28, 2024.

2. The deadline for Defendants to respond to the amended complaint is September 23, 2024.

3. The deadline for the Parties to file the joint Rule 26(f) discovery plan is September 30, 2024.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____

By: _____
DANIEL J. CALABRETTA
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE